e-REAL® by LOGOSNET

AI Law in Practice

LIFELONG LEARNING FOR LEGAL PROFESSIONALS



Rules change.
Judgment has to keep up.

Modular courses on the EU AI Act, national and state frameworks and the practice of advising on AI, for law firms, in-house legal departments and compliance teams. Composed to your jurisdictions, delivered fully online or with onsite sessions, and updated as the law moves.

www.e-real.net

e-REAL by LOGOSNET

THE PROGRAM

From legal interpreter to institutional architect

Lifelong learning on the law of artificial intelligence, built one module at a time.

The EU AI Act applies in stages and is already being amended; member states adopt national acts and designate authorities; US states legislate faster than Congress; England and Switzerland take a sectoral route. Lawyers advise on AI systems while the rules keep moving. A single course cannot cover this. A program that is composed, updated and repeated can.

AI Law in Practice is a catalog of short, live modules: foundations that hold across jurisdictions, focus modules on each regulation and jurisdiction, and practice labs where participants advise, draft and decide in simulated cases. The thread running through them is the book's thesis: advising on AI means designing governance, connecting principles, rules, standards, contracts and controls into one architecture. Each organization composes its own path and chooses how it runs: entirely online, entirely onsite, or online with an onsite launch or closing event. Each module is revised when the law it covers changes.

Foundations

The concepts that travel: AI as cognitive infrastructure, the four levels from assistive to delegated AI, risk-based regulation, meaningful human oversight, explainability that works in practice.

Jurisdiction focus

One module per regulation and jurisdiction: the EU AI Act, its national implementation in Italy and Germany, California, New York, England and Wales, Switzerland. Added as new frameworks arrive.

Practice labs

Simulated cases on the e-REAL platform: classify a system, advise a board, answer a regulator, review an AI-generated opinion. Conversational AI avatars play clients, authorities and counterparties.

Regulatory entropy, by design

Overlapping rules, staggered dates, authorities still being set up, guidance that changes the reading of a provision: this is the working condition, not an exception. The program trains the habit of locating a question in the right framework, checking the current state of the rule, and advising with options, confidence levels and triggers for review.

Built on Fernando Salvetti's book *Generative Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Law* (Springer, in press) and on the e-REAL immersive learning platform.

e-REAL by LOGOSNET

MODULE CATALOG · 01

Foundations

What holds across jurisdictions. The modules every path starts from.



F-01 **Introduction to the EU AI Act**

Scope, definitions, the risk pyramid, prohibited practices, high-risk systems, general-purpose AI models, transparency duties, governance and penalties. The staggered application calendar and the amendments under discussion, as they stand at the date of delivery.

Live · 2 sessions · every path

F-02 **Roles and obligations along the AI value chain**

Provider, deployer, importer, distributor: who owes what, when a deployer becomes a provider, what to put in contracts. Conformity assessment, technical documentation, logging, post-market monitoring, harmonized standards.

Live · 1 session

F-03 **Data, privacy and AI**

GDPR and the AI Act read together: lawful basis for training and use, automated decision-making, DPIAs and fundamental-rights impact assessments, data governance and provenance for grounded systems, the role of the data protection officer.

Live · 1 session

F-04 **Liability, intellectual property and AI output**

Who answers for an AI-caused harm; product liability after the revised directive; copyright in training data and in generated output; trade secrets and confidentiality when models learn from client material.

Live · 1 session

F-05 **The international landscape**

Three regulatory models (EU, US, China) and the jurisdictions in between: the Council of Europe Framework Convention, OECD principles, sectoral and principles-based approaches, and how to map one cross-border deployment onto several frameworks at once.

Live · 1 session

F-06 **Agentic AI and human oversight**

How AI systems generate decisions; from assistants to agents that act; delegation without autonomy; meaningful human oversight in practice; operational explainability; what a lawyer must verify before relying on AI output. The conceptual core of the program.

Live · 1 session · every path

Session count is indicative. Content is revised at each edition to the law in force; participants of earlier editions are invited to the update session.

e-REAL by LOGOSNET

MODULE CATALOG · 02

Jurisdiction focus

One module per regulation. Pick the jurisdictions your clients and your organization work in.



J-IT **Italy: framework law, Decree 160/2026 and Decree 231/2001**

Law 132/2025 and Decree 160/2026 read with the EU Act: designated authorities, sector provisions, the new offense on security and human oversight of high-risk systems (art. 437-bis), deepfakes (art. 612-quater), corporate liability under art. 25-vicies of Decree 231/2001.

Live · 1 session · Italian or English

J-DE **Germany: KI-MIG and supervision**

The KI-MIG in force since July 2026: the Federal Network Agency as surveillance authority, national fines alongside the Act's, data-protection and works-council aspects, corporate liability under the OWiG, the deepfake offense under discussion.

Live · 1 session · German or English

J-UK **England and Wales: the sectoral route**

A principles-based, regulator-led approach rather than a single AI statute: what the ICO, FCA, CMA and other regulators expect, data-protection reform, professional-conduct rules on AI use by solicitors and barristers.

Live · 1 session

J-CH **Switzerland: targeted regulation**

Technology-neutral governance through existing law: the Federal Council's approach, the Council of Europe Convention as reference, FINMA and sectoral rules, advising Swiss clients that deploy into the EU.

Live · 1 session · German, French, Italian or English

J-CA **California**

Regulatory pluralism by targeted statutes: training-data transparency (AB 2013), frontier-model safety (SB 53), automated decision-making under state privacy law, employment and consumer-protection enforcement.

Live · 1 session

J-NY **New York**

New York City Local Law 144 on automated employment decision tools, bias audits and notices; state legislation on frontier models and algorithmic accountability; financial-services guidance.

Live · 1 session

J-US **US federal, Colorado, Texas and other states**

Executive-branch policy and its reversals, agency enforcement under existing statutes, the Colorado AI Act, the Texas act and its sandbox, preemption debates, keeping a multistate map current.

Live · 1 session

Other jurisdictions (Spain, France, the Netherlands, the Nordics, Singapore, Japan, South Korea, the Gulf) are built on request, with local counsel, on the same template.

e-REAL by LOGOSNET

MODULE CATALOG · 03

Practice labs

Advise, draft and decide in simulated cases on the e-REAL platform.

L-01 **Classify the system**
A client describes a product. Participants decide whether it is an AI system, where it sits in the risk pyramid, which role the client plays and which obligations follow. The avatar client pushes back; the facts change halfway through.
Lab · 1 session

L-02 **Advise the board**
Fifteen minutes with a board avatar that wants a yes or no on deploying an AI tool. Participants prepare the paper, present it, answer questions and state the residual risk. Feedback on clarity, accuracy and composure.
Lab · 1 session

L-03 **Answer the regulator**
An information request from an authority after a complaint. Participants reconstruct the documentation, decide what to disclose and draft the response, with an avatar regulator asking the follow-up questions.
Lab · 1 session

L-04 **Review the AI-generated opinion**
A legal memo drafted by a generative tool with planted errors: invented authorities, wrong jurisdiction, outdated provisions. Find them, fix them, decide what to sign and how to document the review.
Lab · 1 session

L-05 **Update the compliance model**
An Italian case: map the client's AI systems, identify the high-risk ones, assign human-oversight roles and update the Decree 231/2001 model and the code of ethics, with an avatar supervisory board asking what evidence exists. Adaptable to the German and Spanish corporate-liability regimes.
Lab · 1 session

Compose your path

Every path starts with F-01 and F-06. The rest is chosen with the organization: jurisdictions, depth, labs, calendar. Three examples.

Law firm, cross-border

F-01 · F-02 · F-04 · F-06 · J-IT · J-DE · J-UK · L-01 · L-04

Nine modules, about 20 hours, one session a week over a quarter.

In-house legal and compliance

F-01 · F-02 · F-03 · F-06 · home jurisdiction · L-01 · L-02 · L-03 · L-05

Nine modules, about 18 hours; lab cases built on the company's own AI inventory.

US practice group

F-01 · F-05 · F-06 · J-CA · J-NY · J-US · L-02 · L-04

Eight modules, about 16 hours; the EU Act as the frame, state law as the daily practice.

Lifelong: the update is part of the course
When a regulation changes, the module is revised and a 60-minute update session is scheduled; previous participants are invited at no charge for twelve months.

e-REAL by LOGOSNET

FORMAT AND FACULTY

Live, short, repeatable

Sessions that fit a working week, online or onsite. Cases that come back with a twist.

Fully online

Live sessions of 90 to 120 minutes, at most 16 participants, one session a week. Materials and recordings on the e-REAL platform; no technical background needed. Every module runs online.

Onsite, as much as you want

Any module can also run onsite: at your offices, in an e-REAL immersive room or at a venue we arrange. The whole path, a few modules, or only a launch or closing event with a live simulation on the immersive platform and your leadership in the room.

Languages

English throughout. Jurisdiction modules also in Italian, German and French where indicated. Avatars in the simulations speak the language of the cohort.

Who teaches

Practicing lawyers in each jurisdiction, academics in law and epistemology, AI scientists and learning designers. No module is taught by someone who does not practice or research in that field. Faculty is confirmed per edition and listed on the enrollment page.

For whom

Law firms

Associates and partners who advise on AI deployment, draft AI clauses, or use generative tools in their own work. Technology, data, employment, product-liability and corporate practice groups.

In-house legal departments

General counsel and legal teams in companies that buy, build or deploy AI systems, and who answer for them to boards, regulators and customers.

Compliance, privacy and risk functions

Compliance officers, data protection officers and risk managers who have to turn the AI Act and its national counterparts into inventories, assessments, policies and controls.

Assessment and credits

Each module closes with a short scenario task; paths of four modules or more lead to a Certificate of Completion issued by Logosnet, a member of the Pact for Skills, stating the hours completed: 16 to 20 continuing-education hours for the example paths. Credits recognized where the bar, professional body or employer provides for it.

e-REAL by LOGOSNET

PRACTICE AND FEEDBACK

Simulate. Reflect. Try again.

Feedback connects what participants did with the rule they were applying.

In the labs, participants work individually or in small groups with conversational AI avatars in the role of clients, boards, regulators and opposing counsel. New facts arrive during the exercise. Feedback and debriefing connect each decision to the provision it rests on, to what was verified and to what was assumed.

01 Scenario

A client, a system, a question.

02 Advice

Classify, draft, present, answer.


03 Feedback

Rule, verification, residual risk.

04 Try again

Same case, new facts.

Example of feedback after a lab



What the feedback looks at

Correct framework and role identification; the state of the rule actually checked; quality of questions to the client; verification of AI output before reliance; clarity of the advice for a non-lawyer; residual risk stated, with triggers for review.

LET'S COMPOSE YOUR PATH

Tell us your jurisdictions, your roles and the AI systems you already use. We will propose a sequence and a calendar.
www.e-real.net

CONTACT

Logosnet, Turin
+39 011 19620030
danils@logosnet.org